App. F. IX-4

Revenue

1921-2

(CB)

File No. 151/4

Previous References

CB 151-21-Pt. 34

Serial Nos. Nos. 1

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Aug. 1947.

SUBJECT

Notices in duplicate in R.A. No 367 of 1945-46 on the file of the Chief Court (Re: Mrs. Meenakshiammal vs the Dewan of Travancore).

1931

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

1931

Letter No 404 dated the 18th September 1926 from the Deputy Registrar, Chief Court forwards notices as duplicated in R.A. No 347 of 1925-26 on the file of the Chief Court (Re Mrs Meena Khannum ~~&~~ Dewan of Mysore)

It is usual to get Sum in the name of the Chief Secretary to Govt. on behalf of Govt. (Vide Rule 86 of the Rules for the Conduct of Leg affairs of the State Flag I) & this is addressed to the Dewan of Mysore,

The Summons will be prepared for the signature of the Chief Secretary, after Consulting, if considered nece the L.L. Secretary Solicitor for orders

May be accepted

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

4/9 MSR 4/9/31

Chitty

4/9

1931

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Notice is prepared for his
nature. If you issue this
it be brought to the
of C. Dr. for necessary
ther action

MW
6/9

Casey

MWSK
6/9/26

MW
7/9

Jones 2346 9/9.1926

The duplicate has been
returned duly accepted
to the Confidential Dr
for necessary further action.

Sept with compliments
WSK
11/26

Smith

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

Serial No

Regular Appeal No 347-1925 on
his file of this Hon'ble Court.

Meenakshi ammal
Appellant

vrsus

The Respondent

The Case is posted for hearing
on the 17th Sept. 1926.

The Govt. Advocate have been
exempted from Conducting this Case
because he was the advocate of
Meenakshi ammal in the Dist
Court previous to his appt as Govt
Advocate

In the Dist Court Mr. M. Ramachandra
Rao was the advocate on behalf of
Govt.

Of Course Messrs K S Ramaswamy
Iyer and other Respondents will arrange
to Conduct the Case properly but an
advocate is necessary on behalf of Govt.

Dated
the
appln

Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao
may be engaged as he knows
the Case. His fee may be allowed
Separately

Dewan Bahadur
Secy & Legal

9/5/26

1274 P 26

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. GD-492 of 192

Serial No.

SWD Shelf

Having regard to the fact that the suit is of a speculative nature & that there are the other defts who are keenly interested in defending the suit, it does not seem to be necessary to engage a counsel for Govt at a heavy cost

For the original suit the Govt have paid Rs 1000 as fees. It is unlikely that the lady is in a position to pay this amount.

Even if we engage an Advocate in appeal the Govt may have to incur this expenditure without any chance of recovery

Under the circumstances of the case the Govt need not put in any appearance incur a heavy cost as hereto before

Dewan

8.9

9.9

I agree with H. The L. Secretary

JM

13.9.26

8.9

13-9.

B

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
10. AUG. 1926
Reg. No. 1921

NOTE: Contn. Sheet

12025

404 papers

Re 1981

BANGALORE,

Dated 1 7 1926

From Deputy

THE REGISTRAR,

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. R. Ser 3 SEP. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

~~THE~~ Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith for service, notices in duplicate, in R. A. No. 347 of 1925-26, on the file of this Court, and to request that you will be so good as to cause the duplicate to be served on the person named therein, and the original returned to this Court with due endorsement of service thereon.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. Srinivasangar

Deputy *Registrar.*

WD A01797—GPB—1,000—3-4-18

Notice to Respondent of the day fixed for hearing Appeal.
O41. r, 14 C. P. C.

Form No. 65, Civil.

IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE

Regular Appeal No. 347 of 1925-26.

Mrs. Meenakshammal ~~Resident of~~ *Appellant.*

Versus

7th The Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore. Resident of *Respondent.*

Appeal from the decree of the Court of the District Judge Bangalore dated the 4th day of January 1926.

The Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore. *Respondent.*

To

Please TAKE NOTICE that an appeal from the decree of the District Judge Bangalore in O. S. No. 11 of 1921-22 ~~in this case~~ has been presented by the Appellant and registered in this Court, and that the 17th day of September 1926, has been fixed by ~~this~~ Court for the hearing of this appeal.

If no appearance is made on your behalf by yourself, your Advocate or by some one by law authorized to act for you in this appeal, it will be heard and decided *exparte* in your absence.

GIVEN under my hand and the seal of this Court, this 1st day of September 1926.

M. Venkatasiengar

Deputy *Registrar.*

WD A01782—GPB—2000—4-4-18

Q. 2746
7.9.26.

duplicate is taken and the original is returned herewith.

Yours
Chief Secretary to Govt